Pocky Flats Office

1871 RF 92 morandum

ROCKY FLATS PLANT CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

DUE

DATE **ACTION** LTR ENG DIST BENJAMIN A BERMAN, H S BRANCH, DB BURLINGAME, A.H. CARNIVAL, G.J. COPP, RD CROUCHER, DW DAVIS, J.G. EVERED, JE FERRERA, DW GOODWIN, R HILBIG, J G IDEKER, E H KERSH, J M KIRBY, WA KRILG, D KUESTER, A W LEE EM

HANNI, B.J HEALY, T.J MAJESTIC, J.R. MARX, G E MORGAN, RV PIZZUTO, V M POTTER, G L SANDLIN, N B SHEPLER, R L SULLIVAN, M T ANSON E R MAN, K.G. BE, JS WILKINSON, R.B. WILSON, J.M.

YOUNG, E.R. ZANE, JO 9'Rourke

CORRES CONTROL TRAFFIC

Reviewed for Addressee Corres Control RFP 4-2-92 Cou DATE BY ne Lir#

ERD FRL 3847

APR 02 1997

Implement Pre-Assessment Activities for OU7

J K. Fletcher Central Planning EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc

Through telephonic conversation I have learned that the EG&G Change Control Administrator expects a memo from the DOE cognizant organization for a change before the change will be processed by EG&G I support the transfer of funds from OU6 to OU7 for pre-assessment mobilization and planning.

I would also like to comment that the requirement for a memo of this type is burdensome and inconsistent with the Plant Change Control Board (PCCB) Charter intent. Item d. on page 2 of Revision 4 of the PCCB Charter clearly focuses on " simple and concise. ." documentation As cognizant DOE office we would automatically be involved in the change review per PCCB procedure To require this additional paperwork, particularly for a relatively small change of \$200,000, is counterproductive to the efficient processing of this change I would be interested in reviewing the EG&G procedure that describes the internal EG&G Change Control process as it appears your thresholds are overly restrictive

Please contact me at extension 7846 if you have any further questions

Environmental Restoration Division

D Riley, OOM, RFO R Birk, ERD, RFO J. Evered, EG&G

P Bunge, EG&G T O'Rourke, EG&G

ADMIN RECORD